



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. Do. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto,gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,041	10/31/2003	Deia Salah-Eldin Bayoumi	ABDT-0575/B030270	1204
23377 7590 03/16/2005 EXAMINER				INER
WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP			MASINICK, MICHAEL D	
ONE LIBERTY PLACE, 46TH FLOOR				
1650 MARKET STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			2125	
	·		DATE MAILED: 03/16/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/699,041	BAYOUMI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael D Masinick	2125				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Fe	ebruary 2005.	•				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.		· :				
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-11,21 and 22</u> is/are		•				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-20</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
					8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	<u>:</u>				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2003 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		:				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		<u>:</u>				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		:				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		•				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		· ·				
	,	:				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	(PTO-413) ate					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/4/2004</u> , <u>5/14/2004</u> 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/699,041

Art Unit: 2125

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

This office action is in response to the election made without traverse of claims 12-20 on 2/28/2005. Claims 1-11, 21, and 22 have been withdrawn.

Claim Objections

- 1. Claim17 is objected to because of the following informalities: As applicant did not invent the computer readable medium, the preamble of claim 17 should be changed to "A computer program embodied on a computer readable medium...". Appropriate correction is required.
- 2. Claim 13 appears to be missing some words from the preamble ("wherein the further comprising"). Please double check the language of this claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 12-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,991,528 to Taylor et al.
- 3. Referring to claims 12, 17, and 18, Taylor shows a method (inherently done via a computer program) for generating workflow optimization processes and techniques for use on manufacturing resources of a manufacturing environment comprising the steps of: receiving

Art Unit: 2125

request for the manufacture of a product or product component (Col 6, lines 8-12 – "The operator will select a specific part..."); and processing the request by a workflow optimization engine, the workflow optimization engine having at least one instruction set to process data according to predefined manufacturing rules (Patent subject – also see "Rules set 109").

- 4. Referring to claim 13, Taylor shows further comprising communicating the processed data to at least one cooperating manufacturing resource (Claim 2 of Taylor).
- 5. Referring to claim 14 and 20, Taylor shows wherein the communication step comprises establishing communications over a communications network with the manufacturing resource (Column 4, lines 49-51).
- 6. Referring to claim 15 and 19, Taylor shows retrieving from a cooperating data store data manufacturing rules and heuristics for the manufacturing environment ("Rules set 109").
- 7. Referring to claim 16, Taylor shows receiving data from cooperating additional manufacturing optimization resources comprising any of manual data, manufacturing control application, and planning systems for processing and to generate the manufacturing instructions (Col 6, lines 16-42).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael D Masinick whose telephone number is (571) 272-3746.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 7:30-4:00.

Art Unit: 2125

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo Picard can be reached on (571) 272-3749. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LP.P.

MDM

LEO PICARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 4